

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,766	08/13/2001	Hagai Aronowitz	INTL-0608-US (P11749) 7588	
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop			WOZNIAK, JAMES S	
TROP, PRUNE	•			
8554 KATY FWY, STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/928,766	ARONOWITZ, HAGAI				
, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James S. Wozniak	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The days been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortens (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· · · — —					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 23-26.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22 and 27-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

DAVID L. OMETZ PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument that Yamaguchi et al (U.S. Patent: 6,026,359) fails to teach the step of determining signal attributes and noise attributes of at least two signal portions from target speech data (Amendment, Pages 1-3) is not convincing. The examiner notes that the term "signal attributes" does not require the presence of speech and noise. In the interpretation of such a limitation, speech and noise may be included, but are not required. Also, with respect to Claim 1, the applicant argues that Yamaguchi fails to teach the analysis of target speech (Amendment, Page 2), howeve the examiner notes that target speech can be interpreted as any speech under analysis by a speech processing system, which would include training speech data. Therefore, the teachings of Yamaguchi anticipate the currently claimed invention and the prior position of record is maintained. The examiner additionally notes that a claim amendment directed towards clarifying that a signal attribute contain speech and noise, and not speech or noise would possibly overcome the prior art rejection. The applicant's challenge of official notice with respect to Claim 29 (Amendment, Page 4), has not previously been presented and is a new argument that would require further consideration.